



ARB-65-2023 (O&M)

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

278

ARB-65-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.11.2024

Anil Kumar Sharma

...Applicant

Versus

Surya Solanki and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Ashwani Kumar Antil, Advocate
Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate and
Ms. Poonam Saroya, Advocate for the applicant
Mr. Sunil Garg, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through instant application under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '1996 Act'), the applicant is seeking appointment of an Arbitrator.

2. On 18.09.2024, this Court passed the following order: -

"1. The respondents are claiming that a supplementary partnership deed dated 14.08.2021 was executed between the parties and as per the said deed, all the dues of the applicant stand settled and nothing is outstanding against the respondent.

2. Mr. Ashwani Kumar Antil, Advocate, on instructions from Anil Kumar Sharma-applicant, who is present in Court, submits that signatures of the applicant on aforesaid supplementary partnership deed are forged.

3. To resolve the issue, copy of original supplementary partnership deed and documents of Punjab National Bank disclosing signatures of applicant, submitted during the course of arguments, need to be forwarded to Central Forensic Science Laboratory, Chandigarh to ascertain whether Anil



ARB-65-2023 (O&M)

-2-

Kumar Sharma had actually signed supplementary partnership deed dated 14.08.2021.

4. *The Registrar (Judicial) of this Court is directed to forward original partnership deed dated 14.08.2021 and documents of Punjab National Bank disclosing signatures of Anil Kumar Sharma-Applicant to Central Forensic Science Laboratory, Chandigarh for the aforesaid purpose.*

5. *For awaiting the report of Central Forensic Science Laboratory, Chandigarh, adjourned to **12.11.2024.***”

3. A report dated 14.10.2024 is received from Central Forensic Science Laboratory, Chandigarh. As per the said report, second supplementary partnership deed has been signed by the same person who signed the first partnership deed. Report dated 14.10.2024 is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag at an appropriate place.

4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the applicant does not press the petition.

5. Dismissed as not pressed.

6. Original partnership deed dated 14.08.2021 is returned to learned counsel for the respondents.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

25.11.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No