

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CWP-1774-2024

Date of Decision : 29.01.2024

Bhupinder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

The Revisional Authority and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Tahaf Bains, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court through instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the grievance that against the punishment orders dated 28.05.2021/09.06.2021 (Annexure P-1), the petitioner has preferred statutory appeal which was dismissed and against the said order, revision petition dated 09.01.2024 (Annexure P-4) has been filed which is still pending consideration and the authorities are bent upon effecting recovery.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset prays for deciding the revision petition dated 09.01.2024 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner expeditiously by passing a speaking order within a time bound frame.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record of the case.

CWP-1774-2024

2

4. The revisional authority-respondent No.1 is directed to consider and decide the revision petition dated 09.01.2024 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
5. Since the revision petition is still pending and yet to be decided, further recovery from the petitioner shall remain stayed and would be subject to the final order that may be passed by the revisional authority.
6. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

29.01.2024

Kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No