

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP-1749-2024
Date of Decision : 25.01.2024

AMRIK SINGHPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present : Mr. Gourave Bhayya Gilhotra, Advocate
Mr. Akash Manocha, Advocate and
Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for a considerable length of time, the learned counsel for the petitioner is agreeable to a suggestion made before this Court by the learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, that the *sub judice* appeal reared before the competent Appellate Authority, thus challenging Annexure P-4, be directed to be decided within a week from today.
2. Ordered accordingly.
3. However, it is further clarified that the order made on the *sub judice* statutory appeal shall not be drawn cryptically but shall be made only after the gravest application of mind ,besides a speaking order shall

4. Moreover, it is also directed that an opportunity of personal hearing, besides to adduce all the relevant evidence shall be granted to the present petitioner by the competent Appellate Authority concerned.
5. Disposed of alongwith all pending applications, if any.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

25.01.2024

kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE