

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

270

CRWP No.775 of 2024
Date of decision:- 18.03.2024.

Rajni Bala and anr

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate and
Mr. Keshav Chadha, Advocates
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S.Arora, DAG Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. As per the reply on record, the stand of the State is as under:-

“4. That it is respectfully submitted that Incharge, ASI Jang Bahadur, Police Post Jandu Singha, Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar Rural during the inquiry of the application dated 23.01.2024 (Annexure P-3), recorded the statements of petitioner No.1 and 2 on 30.01.2024. In their statements, the present petitioners have stated that they are live-in-relationship since one year and till date the private respondents have not interfered in their life and they have no threat perception of their life and liberty at the hands of respondent No.4 to 10, and they do not want any security. The copies of Statements of petitioner No.1 and 2 are annexed herewith as Annexure R-1 and R-2, respectively.

5. That is is respectfully submitted that the respondents No.4 to 10 also got recorded their statements dated 30.01.2024 before the Incharge, ASI Jang Bahadur, Police Post Jandu Singha, Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar Rural to the effect that they have no knowledge regarding the live-in-relationship against them are false. They have further stated that if the petitioners want to marry each other or they want to live in relationship, then

CRWP No.775 of 2024

**2024:PHHC:040730
2.**

they have no objection to it. The copies of statements of respondents No.4 to 10 are annexed herewith as Annexure R-3 to R-9, respectively.

2. In view thereof, though no further order is warranted. However, counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners still are facing threat as the parties belong to the same village.

3. In view thereof, present petition stand disposed off with direction to respondent No.2 to re-assess threat perception to the petitioners and act accordingly in accordance with law.

**(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE**

18.03.2024
spn