

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4282-2024
Decided On: 16.04.2024

PARVEEN

.....PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. B.S. Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

On 29.01.2024 the following order was passed by this Court:-

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.521 dated 10.06.2022 registered under Section 25(1-B)(a) Arms Act registered at Police Station Azad Nagar, District Hisar.

As per the prosecution allegations, one Ajay @ Bittu was apprehended by the police and on his search, two country made pistols along with one live cartridge were recovered from him. In his disclosure statement, said Ajay @ Bittu nominated the petitioner to be supplier for an amount of ₹80,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated; he has no concern whatsoever either with the recovered weapons or cartridges or with co-accused Ajay @ Bittu. Learned counsel further contends that petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent- State.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Ramesh, concedes the fact that petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case and thus has clean criminal antecedents.

Adjourned to 06.03.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today, on instructions from Inspector Ishwar Singh, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 29.01.2024 and is no longer required for further investigation.

In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 29.01.2024, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

16.04.2024
Priyanka-II

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No