

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-781-2024

Date of Decision: February 12, 2024

JAGJEET SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. G.S. Jagpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, prayer has been made for the issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to protect life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.4 and his associates.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.
3. Prayer seems to be justified.
4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.
5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed

of with a direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana to look into the representation (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 04 (four) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. The aforesaid direction shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the averments made in the representation.

12.02.2024
Tejwinder

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>