

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

244-CRM-M-4151-2024
Date of decision: 10.05.2024

Tajinder Singh ... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. TS Sullar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG Haryana

Sandeep Moudgil, J.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail under Section 438 CrPC in case FIR No.801 dated 17.11.2023 under Sections 406/420/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Shahabad, Kurukshetra.

Vide order dated 25.01.2024, this Court granted interim bail to the petitioner on the ground that the complainant has principally agreed to resolve the disputed with the petitioner and as such, the parties were given liberty to enter into compromise. However, on the next date of hearing i.e. 29.04.2024, learned counsel for the complainant submitted that after the compromise, the cheque of the petitioner was dishonoured and as such, the following order was passed:-

“Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Gurminder Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that after the compromise, the cheque of the petitioner was dishonoured.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to get instructions on the objection raised by learned counsel for the complainant to the effect that in view of the compromise arrived at, a cheque was handed over to him which stands dishonoured and on

that account, the petitioner is not entitled for concession of the anticipatory bail before this Court.

Adjourned to 01.05.2024.

Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing only.”

After arguing the case for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of this petition on the ground that the petitioner is unable to comply with the orders dated 25.01.2024 & 29.04.2024.

Prayer allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

10.05.2024
V.Vishal

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
- 2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No