

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

**2024:PHHC:010195
CWP No.1687 of 2024
Date of Decision:25.01.2024**

M/s Shri Naina Ji Agro Ind. and others

....Petitioners

VS.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate and
Ms. Dilpreet Kaur, Advocate and
Ms. Navreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to refund the amount received as security for allocation of paddy under the release order scheme.

2. Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court, at the outset, submits that Government has issued instructions dated 23.01.2024 with respect to refund arising on account of non-allocation of RO paddy. Communication dated 23.01.2024 is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

3. Faced with this, counsel for the petitioners states that he does not press the present petition, however, liberty may be granted to the

petitioners to approach the appropriate authority in accordance with the aforesaid communication.

4. Disposed of as not pressed with liberty aforesaid.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

25.01.2024
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No