

[218] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4016-2024
Date of Decision : 12.02.2024

Rohit alias Gappu ...Petitioner
versus
State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

Coram : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present : Mr. Tarun Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Gagandeep Singh Simble, Advocate
for complainant/respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 25.01.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. Tarun Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

*By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
petitioner has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.70
dated 12.06.2022 registered under Sections 324, 34 IPC (offence under
Section 307 IPC added later on) at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR
was lodged after three days delay, initially only under Section 324, 34 IPC
against unknown persons. The matter was compromised amongst the par-
ties vide Annexure P.4.*

*Learned counsel further submits that after 22 days, Section
307 IPC has been added vide Annexure P.6.*

Notice of motion.

*Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on
behalf of the respondent- State. Copy of the petition be supplied to him
during course of the day. Mr. J.S. Bajwa, Advocate, accepts notice on be-
half of respondent No.2 – complainant and filed his Power of Attorney.*

*Learned counsel for the complainant concedes the fact that
the matter has been compromised amongst the parties.*

Adjourned to 12.02.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 25.01.2024 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 25.01.2024, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

12.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No