

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

261

CWP-1845-2023

Date of decision: 21.02.2024

SEEMA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

A Prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for providing of security vehicle to the petitioner and her mother on account of threat to their lives at the hands of convicts of Manoj-Babli murder case.

Written statement had been filed by Maqsood Ahmed, IPS, Superintendent of Police, Kaithal on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 wherein it has been averred that security assessment has been conducted again in accordance with letter No. 84162-73/Security dated 03.11.2022 received from the office of Additional Director General of Police, Security, Panchkula and it has been revealed that there is no threat received by Mrs. Chanderpatti and her family for last 07 years and no complaint against any person has been lodged. It is further pointed out that the security cover was withdrawn vide order dated 28.12.2022 and thereafter a complaint was filed by Seema daughter of

Mrs. Chanderpatti on 05.01.2023 in the office of Superintendent of Police, Kaithal in connection with security for going out of station by making reference of curative petition temporary request letter No. 27945/22. It was thus mentioned that whenever Mrs. Chanderpatti or any member of her family has to go to any place then they will have to inform the District Police Office, Kaithal at least one day in advance so that police security may be provided on time. The said order was communicated to Mrs. Chanderpatti but she declined to receive the said order or to give her signatures on the same. Therefore, the photocopy of the order was given to EHC-Dinesh Kumar 94/KTL gunman of Mrs. Chanderpatti and order was read over to Mrs. Chanderpatti and intimation in this regard was also sent and recorded in the DDR. It is contended that in view of the security assessment having been reviewed and no threat being found, the security cover has been rightly withdrawn. There is no replication or documents filed by the petitioner for last nearly 01 year on the basis whereof the above said review of security and the reply could be confronted. The petitioner has also not come present in the Court today. It seems that there is no tangible threat to the petitioner any further. The present writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

FEBRUARY 21, 2024

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No