

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3988-2024

Date of decision : 23.04.2024

GURNAM KAUR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. B.S. Kundra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

On 25.01.2024, the following order was passed :-

“Apprehending her arrest in FIR No.103 dated 30.7.2023, registered under Sections 420/467/468/471/120-B IPC at Police Station Sirhind Sahib (Punjab), petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* relies upon order dated 01.01.2024 passed in CRM-M-65536-2023 whereby similarly situated co-accused namely Jagdev Singh has been admitted to interim protection.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 23.04.2024.

Interim order in the same terms as in **CRM-M-65536-2023.**”

2. Today, Ld. State Counsel on instructions from ASI Surjit Chand submits that the petitioner has already joined investigation and is no more required for custodial interrogation.

3. Without commenting on the merits of the case and in view of the aforesaid fact, order dated 25.01.2024 is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Sections 438(2) Cr.P.C.
4. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.
6. The petitioner shall be deemed to be in custody for the purpose of Section 27 of the Evidence Act in regard to a discovery of facts made in pursuance of information supplied by the petitioner in case the occasion arises.
7. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move this Court for a direction under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.
8. Petition stands disposed off accordingly.

April 23, 2024
Dpr

(Pankaj Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No