

275

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:038633

CRM-M-4071-2024

Date of decision:18.03.2024

SUNIL AND OTHERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Viney Phogat, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Monu Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Zenith Dogra, Advocate for respondent No.2 to 4.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 381 dated 07.07.2022 registered under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 380, 454, 506 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 (Final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C was presented under Section 307, 323, 34, 506 of IPC and Section 27 of Arms Act), at Police Station Israna, District Panipat on the basis of compromise dated 18.01.2024 (Annexure P-2) along with all consequential proceedings arising thereof.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2- Sachin Kumar against the petitioners.
3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 to 4 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.
4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all

the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of District and Sessions Judge, Panipat along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondent No.2 to 4 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 381 dated 07.07.2022 registered under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 380, 454, 506 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 (Final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C was presented under Section 307, 323, 34, 506 of IPC and Section 27 of Arms Act), at Police Station Israna, District Panipat and all the consequential proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

18.03.2024

Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No