

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CWP-1699-2024
Date of Decision: 25.01.2024

Mohd. Mustak and others ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners
Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana
Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate with Mr. Govind Tanwar,
Advocate for respondent no.3

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the impugned final result dated 19.12.2023, Annexure P-3. Further, a writ of *mandamus* has been sought directing the Commission to conduct the written test and interview again and send answer sheets to an independent expert for fresh evaluation.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case respondent No.3 is directed to decide the pending representations, dated 02.01.2024, Annexure P-5 to P-7, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for respondent no.3, appearing on advance notice, submits that the pending representations shall be decided by respondent No.3 expeditiously.
5. In view thereof, without going into merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3/Secretary, Haryana Public Service Commission, to consider and decide the pending representations dated 02.01.2024, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.
6. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹25,000 to the petitioners.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

25.01.2024
Payal

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>