

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

117

CWP-1603-2024
Date of Decision: 25.01.2024

GURMEET SINGH AND ANRPetitioners

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to count the service rendered as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that case of the petitioners are squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in *Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab in CWP No.2371 of 2010 and Sukhjit Singh and others Vs. Statdee of Punjab and others in CWP No.9936 of 2017*.

3. Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court submits that in terms of above stated judgments of this Court, the respondents authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioners in terms of

judgments of this Court in Harbans Lal and Sukhjit Singh (supra) and pass an appropriate order within 3 months from today.

4. In the wake of statements of both side, the present petition stands disposed of.

25.01.2024
pry

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No