

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CRM-14751-2024 in/and
CRM-M-4144-2024
Date of decision: 16.04.2024

Yogesh @ Pintu and anotherPetitioners
Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : None for the petitioners.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-14751-2024

Prayer in the instant application is for advancing the date of hearing of main case from 24.04.2024 to some early date.

In view of the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the date of hearing of the main case is advanced from 24.04.2024 to today itself.

CRM-M-4144-2024

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.24 dated 24.01.2023 under Sections 323, 34, 379, 506 of the IPC registered at Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 16.01.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 25.01.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 26.02.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise

arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panipat, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panipat and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

16.04.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No