

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3931-2024
DECIDED ON:24.01.2024

SURENDERPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANARESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.452 dated 29.11.2023, under Sections 406, 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition, as the petitioner stands arrested on 22.01.2024.

Prayer is accepted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

Though the counsel for the petitioner asserts by relying upon a judgment passed in *Arnesh Kumar vs. State of Bihar, (2014) 8 Scc 273* that even if an accused is arrested before anticipatory bail that would not take away his right to seek interim bail and therefore, seeks liberty to move an appropriate application. The cause of action would be fresh one and always available with the petitioner in accordance with law and, therefore no specific order is required on that.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

24.01.2024
Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No