



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204+101

CRM-M-3901-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.07.2024

AMIT GOYAL

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present : None for the petitioner.

Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Hitesh Chopra, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN , J.

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.62 dated 24.12.2022 registered under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station Women Cell, District Amritsar.
2. Perusal of the paper-book reflects that initially the matter was referred to the Meditation and Conciliation centre of this court vide order dated 24.01.2023 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court and the arrest of the petitioner was stayed subject to the joining of investigation till the next date of hearing. Thereafter, the matter was adjourned from time to time.
3. As per the report of the Mediator of Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, the mediation between the parties has failed. As per the said

report, on 29.04.2023, separate sessions were held with both the parties telephonically by the Mediator. On 03.05.2023, the Mediator has reported that petitioner is not interested in any further mediation and the petitioner has informed that he will not be able to attend any further mediation sessions as he is travelling for a business trip.

4. As per order dated 22.04.2024, Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate had put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner and sought accommodation to assist the Court. Last opportunity was granted subject to deposit of Rs.10,000/- as costs in District Legal Services Authority, Amritsar. On 11.07.2024, learned counsel for the petitioner had informed that the petitioner had not contacted him and he had no instructions to appear on his behalf. He had no knowledge whether a sum of Rs.10,000/- had been deposited with the District Legal Services Authority, Amritsar in compliance of the order dated 22.04.2024. The matter was adjourned to 19.07.2024.

5. On 19.07.2024, the petitioner remained unrepresented. Even today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

6. In view of the above, the petition stands dismissed for non-compliance of the order dated 22.04.2024.

7. Interim order dated 24.01.2023 stands vacated.

8. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

30.07.2024

P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No