

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.704 of 2024

Date of Decision:24.01.2024

Malkeet Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Akash Manocha, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of petitioners from respondents No.4 to 8 as they are continuously extending threats to eliminate them, with further prayer to direct respondent Nos.2 and 3 not to involve the petitioners in a false case at the instance of respondents No.4 to 8.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that petitioner No.1 is an agriculturist and petitioner No.2 is minor son of petitioner No.1, who is a student. Petitioner No.1 has solemnized marriage of his daughter with respondent No.4. The daughter of petitioner No.1 went abroad after getting married and for the said purpose, some of the expenses were incurred by respondent No.4 and his father along with petitioner No.1. Respondent No.4 could not obtain passport due to three criminal cases pending against him. Thereafter, respondent No.4 and daughter of petitioner No.1 had obtained divorce and since then, respondent No.4 and his father have become inimical towards petitioners. They are extending threats to take revenue from petitioners.

It is further contended that father of respondent No.4 namely Dara Singh is facing a criminal case under Section 307 IPC. As such, petitioners have a valid apprehension that they would be implicated in a case under the NDPS Act or in any other FIR.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and after perusing the paper book, it transpires that allegations levelled in the petition are general in nature and no specific instance or date is given. Moreover, a representation dated 06.01.2024 (Annexure P-1) was given to the Superintendent of Police, District Sirsa without following the drill of Section 154 (3) Cr.P.C.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court finds no ground for issuance of any direction as prayed for by the petitioners in the present petition. Resultantly, the instant petition stands dismissed.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

January 24, 2024
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No