

CWP-1945-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

133

CWP-1945-2024  
Date of Decision: 31.01.2024

Parmjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner  
Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab  
\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to extend a chance to him to clear Stage-II Tests (PMT and PST).
2. The petitioner is an Ex-serviceman. He applied for the post of Constable pursuant to advertisement dated 31.01.2023 (Annexure P-1). The petitioner applied under Ex-serviceman category. The petitioner cleared Stage-I Test and as per prescribed procedure, he had to undergo Physical Measurement and Physical Screening Tests. The said tests took place on 06.12.2023, however, the petitioner could not report at the prescribed time i.e. 09:00 AM because he did not receive intimation well within time. He reported at 11:30 AM on 06.12.2023 but was not permitted to participate in the

selection process. The petitioner has filed multiple complaints with respondent-authorities.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per data available on the portal of respondent-department, the petitioner has been asked to visit the venue and it has been observed that Board will take decision on the reschedule request. Despite the said information available on portal, the respondent has not taken any decision on the request of the petitioner.

4. Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court on behalf of the respondents, submits that State cannot grant separate opportunity for tests to each and every candidate. The petitioner has missed the date & time and there was no lapse on the part of respondent, nevertheless, the authorities if permissible by law and recruitment guidelines, would consider the request of the petitioner.

5. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)  
JUDGE

31.01.2024  
Mohit Kumar

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |