

2024:PHHC:024973

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

250

CWP-1665-2022

Decided on :22.02.2024

Dilbar Singh and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Ms. Urvashi Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sushil Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the credit of earned leave to the petitioners of service from the date of joining till 24.05.2011 alongwith consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners in the present writ petition, the petitioners had given legal notice dated 09.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) and they would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No. 1-State is directed to consider the said legal notice dated 09.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief immediately, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No. 1-State would consider the said legal notice dated 09.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No. 1-State to consider legal notice dated 09.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious then the competent authority of respondent No. 1-State would grant necessary relief immediately in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority of respondent No. 1-State is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioners are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No. 1-State would consider the case of the petitioners independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

22.02.2024
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	Yes /No