

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

265

CRM-M No. 3807 of 2024

Date of Decision: 27.02.2024

Hardeep Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Jagjot Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. I.P.S. Mangat, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J.(ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 103 dated 15.06.2019 registered under Sections 447, 427, 506, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 05.01.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 24.01.2024:

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 103 dated 15.06.2019 under Sections 447, 427, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur, Punjab (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise dated 05.01.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Ms. Ankita Chaudhary, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 2 and files her Memorandum of Appearance and admits to the factum of

compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

The parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within two weeks or any other date convenient to concerned Court to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the petitioners on or before the date fixed i.e. 27.02.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 103 dated 15.06.2019 registered under Sections 447, 427, 506, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

27.02.2024
Rajeev (rvs)

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No