



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(242)

CWP-1408-2023
Date of decision:- 22.10.2024

Dharambeer and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Ms. Reena Rani, Advocate for
Mr. Rajeev Sharma (Raju), Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of instant petition, petitioners have approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India *inter-alia* for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing impugned order dated 06.01.2023, Annexure P-1, passed by the Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Rewari-respondent No.3, whereby petitioners, who were the President and Secretary of Society-respondent No.4, were placed under suspension.
2. Response has been filed on behalf of official respondents No. 1 to 3, wherein it has been submitted that the petitioners are accused of committing various irregularities pertaining to allotment of plots of the Society and of constructing a Community Centre in a park without getting necessary approval.



3. State counsel submits that various complaints were received against the petitioners and they were issued several notices, but they failed to produce the relevant record. He submits that the petitioners filed a joint reply in response to show cause notices and after hearing them, order dated 23.01.2023 was passed under Section 35 of the Haryana Cooperative Societies Act, 1984, whereby petitioners have been removed from the post of President and Secretary. He submits that this order has been separately challenged by the petitioners by filing CWP-2276-2023, which is pending for consideration before this Court. He submits that in view of the subsequent development, prayer made in the writ petition has become infructuous.

4. Counsel for the petitioner could not dispute the subsequent development as well as pendency of the writ petition as pointed out by the State counsel.

5. In view of the above, writ petition has been rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

22.10.2024
Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No