

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(233)

CWP No. 1448 of 2023
Date of Decision : 31.01.2024

Shakuntla

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though notice of motion was issued on 24.01.2023 but even after expiry of more than one year, reply has not been filed. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is suffering prejudice as she is claiming the family pension. Learned counsel further submits that the claim of family pension has already been raised by the petitioner in her representation dated 02.11.2022 (Annexure P-2) and the petitioner will be satisfied in case, a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that though the said representation has been addressed to the Chief Minister Grievance

Redressal Portal, Kurukshetra but the said representation will be decided by the department within a period of eight weeks from today by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the passing of the speaking order, the petitioner is found entitled for any relief, the same will be released to her within a further period of four weeks otherwise, due reasons will be given while passing the speaking order and the same will be informed to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the said statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

4. Ordered accordingly.

January 31st, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No