

220

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3770-2024
Date of decision: 30.01.2024

Sandeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate and
Mr. Ankur Sheoran, Advocate and
Mr. Vrishank Suri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijesh Sharma, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0016 dated 19.06.2023 under Sections 420 and 120-B of IPC at Police Station Cyber Crime, Bhiwani, District Bhiwani (Annexure P-1).
2. The allegations in nutshell are that some unknown persons committed online fraud worth Rs.11,57,827/- against complainant-Vijay Kumar. During investigation, the petitioner was nominated as an accused and thereafter, arrested on 20.12.2023.
3. Counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and was arrested and is now lodged in judicial custody and that no incriminating article was

recovered from possession of the petitioner except Adhar Card and Pan Card of Roop Kumar and Monu respectively. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that now the matter stands compromised between the parties and as such no purpose is going to be served by prolonging the judicial custody of the petitioner.

4. The present petition is resisted by the State counsel who submits that the petitioner and other accused persons committed online fraud against the complainant and in this manner, the complainant was defrauded of Rs.11,57,827/- and that petitioner was arrested in this case on 20.12.2023.

5. Mr. Yashveer Kharb, Advocate for the complainant submits that the matter stands compromised between the parties and that the complainant has no objection if the present petition is allowed.

6. In light of the above, it appears that now the parties have entered into compromise and it being so, no purpose is going to be served by detaining the petitioner in custody for any longer period.

7. Thus, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned, if the petitioner is not required by the police in any other criminal case.

30.01.2024

Yogesh

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-

Yes/No
Yes/No