

230

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2252-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.11.2024

DALIP SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate and
Mr. Sagar Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.


Ms. Sehej Sandhawalia, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Short reply has been filed by way of affidavit of Managing Director, DHBVNL, Hisar on behalf of respondents No.2 to 5 in the Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 5 submitted that all the documents of the petitioner have now been considered and an order will be passed with regard to his appointment, if any, based upon the scrutiny of documents, within a period of one month from today.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the statement made by the learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 5 and the



aforesaid short reply filed today in Court, the present writ petition may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

4. Ordered accordingly.
5. In case the petitioner is found to be entitled for being appointed then needful be done by the respondent-Nigam, within a period of next one month and in case the petitioner is not found to be entitled then a speaking order backed by reasons shall be passed by the respondent-Nigam after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. Needless to say that in case any adverse order is passed against the petitioner then he shall be at liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law.

12.11.2024
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No