

2024:PHHC:050232

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

139

CRM-M-3833-2024
Date of Decision : April 15, 2024

GURPREET SINGH @ GURANDITTA SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Vinay Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for quashing of FIR No.0012 dated 29.1.2021, under Section 420 IPC and under Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act 2014, registered at Police Station Phase 11, Distt. SAS Nagar (Mohali).
2. On the last date of hearing, the State was directed to place on record the status report with regard to the investigation.
3. Today, short reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police (City-II), District SAS Nagar has been filed in the Court, the same is taken on record. A perusal of the same make revelations that infact the matter has been compromised between the petitioner and the complainant and the prosecution agency is proposing to file cancellation report. The relevant para No.7 of reply reads as under:-

*“That from the investigation conducted, the offence
under Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals*

(Regulation) Act, 2014 was not found to be made out and accordingly, the same was deleted from the area of offences of the present case/FIR. Since, the petitioner had returned the money obtained from the other complainants and the other complainant had sent emails for filing their respective complaints and the compromise between the parties was acceptable as per the provisions of Section 320 Cr.P.C., therefore, the cancellation report has been prepared in the present case/FIR on 10.4.2024, which is pending codal formalities and shall soon be presented before the Ld. Court of competent jurisdiction on completion of the codal formalities.”

4. In view of the above, no further order is required to be passed in the instant petition and, therefore, the instant petition is dismissed as having rendered infructuous.
5. However, in case the grievance of the petitioner still survives in future, he is at liberty to reassess this Court on the same cause of action.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

April 15, 2024
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No