

2024:PHHC:008819

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CRWP-667-2024
Date of decision : 23.01.2024

Prateek Bansal and another **Petitioners**

versus

State of Haryana and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Nitin Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondent No.4.
2. Notice of motion.
3. Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
4. As per petitioners, they have married on 18.01.2024 against the wishes of respondent No.4, who happen to be the relatives of petitioner No.2 i.e. Diksha.
5. Both the petitioners claim themselves to be major stating that petitioner No.1-Pateek Bansal is 11.10.1989 born and petitioner No.2-Diksha is 24.08.1995 born as per their Aadhar Cards.
6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to consider the

2024:PHHC:008819

representation dated 19.01.2024 (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioners.

7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondent No.4 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.

8. The petition stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

23.01.2024

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No