

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.3872 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision:24.01.2024

Gurdeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Banga, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of an appropriate order or direction, directing the respondents to take action on the complaint/representations dated 08.02.2023 (Annexure P-5) and 01.12.2023 (Annexure P-7).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that the petitioner had purchased an Enfield motorcycle make 1973, registration No.PBC-2 and the registration certificate of the said vehicle was valid till 05.02.2019. When the petitioner approached the concerned authority for renewal of the registration certificate before its expiry, he learnt that registration number of his motor cycle has been allotted to one Charandeep Singh Bal. Thereafter, the petitioner approached the Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot vide letter and noting dated 09.10.2019/10.11.2019 (Annexure P-1). The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab wrote a letter dated 18.03.2020 (Annexure P-2) to the Secretary, Regional Transport Authority for taking action against Charandeep Singh Bal. An FIR was registered against the petitioner just to browbeat him, as the aforesaid Charandeep Singh Bal was an influential person. Thereafter, the

petitioner and said Charandeep Singh Bal entered into a compromise on the basis of which, FIR registered against the petitioner was quashed by this Court vide order passed in CRM-M No.32050 of 2020. It is further contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the abovesaid case and he has challenged the FIR on merit as well by filing CRM-M No.58146 of 2022 in which this Court has granted stay in favour of the petitioner. The petitioner has earlier made a complaint/representation dated 08.02.2023 (Annexure P-5) and thereafter representation dated 01.12.2023 (Annexure P-7) but no action has been taken on the same. As such, the petitioner having left with no other option, approached this Court by way of instant petition.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the record of the case, it transpires that vide Annexure P-4, mutual compromise was effected between the petitioner and Charandeep Singh Bal, which indicates that the petitioner has already sold his motor cycle along with registration certificate for ₹1,50,000/- and on the basis of the compromise, petitioner has already got the FIR registered against him quashed. In para 6 of the compromise, it has been clearly mentioned that after cancellation of the FIR, none of the parties will re-claim in the police department or will file any application/case before any Court. A perusal of the record of the case clearly indicates that as of now, the petitioner is not the owner of the motor cycle, as he has already sold the same along with registration certificate. After drawing the benefit of the compromise entered between the petitioner and Charandeep Singh Bal, now the petitioner wants to re-agitate his grievance once again.

4. No ground is made for issuance of any direction as prayed for by the petitioner. Consequently, the instant petition stands dismissed. However, the

petitioner would be at liberty to invoke his alternative remedy as available to him, in accordance with law.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

January 24, 2024
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No