

265

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-1715-2023
Date of decision: 13.02.2024

Ajay Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Nafeesh Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to decide the appeal dated 02.02.2018 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied at this stage in case the appeal dated 02.02.2018 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner is decided as expeditiously as possible in a time bound manner.
3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the said appeal dated 02.02.2018 (Annexure P-3) would be decided by respondent No.2 in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period

of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to decide the said appeal dated 02.02.2018 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.2 would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

13.02.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No