

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4692-2024
Date of Decision: 24.04.2024

DHARMENDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Ashish Pundir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Section/s
405	14.11.2023	Sector-5, Panchkula	148, 149, 323, 324 and 506 IPC

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in respect of aforementioned FIR.
2. The FIR in question was lodged at the instance of Jasbir Singh, wherein it is alleged that on 13.11.2023, he was attacked by Dharmender @ Pappi, another boy who is known as Anu who was armed with sharp edged knife or a cutter like thing and on account of which he got a cut injury on his ear. It is alleged that 4 other boys, who were accompanying them, picked up stones and hit him, on account of which he sustained several injuries on his head and other parts of the body.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is co-accused Anu, who was carrying a knife and that the petitioner is neither alleged to have been armed nor is attributed any specific injury and as such, deserves the concession of bail.

4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel submits that since it is the petitioner, who had a motive to cause injuries and was very much present alongwith other co-accused, when the complainant was inflicted injuries, his complicity is clearly evident.
5. This Court has considered the rival submissions.
6. A perusal of the MLR annexed with the reply would indicate that the complainant had sustained the following injuries:

“1. Laceration of size approximate 3cm x 0.5 cm over right temporo occipital region. H/o Nasal Bleed Present, Adv. NCCT head and surgeon opinion.

2. Laceration of size approximate 1cm x 0.5cm over right parietal region. Adv. NCCT head and surgeon opinion.

3. Incised wound (complete tear) approximate 4cm x 0.2 cm over left outer ear superior region, Adv. ENT opinion.”

7. Although none of the injuries is stated to be grievous, but having regard to the fact that the injuries were caused on head and that too with sharp edged weapon and the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR and is stated to have participated in the occurrence, this Court does not find any special ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
8. The petition is found to be sans merit and is hereby dismissed.

24.04.2024

Priyanka-II

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*