

CWP-1965-2020

2024:PHHC:062459



1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(234)

CWP-1965-2020
Date of Decision : 06.05.2024

Shyam Lal Jangra (now deceased) through his Lrs. and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

(234/2)

CWP-22820-2022

Jai Bhagwan and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, two writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being decided as both these petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.



2

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the total number of posts of Assistant Superintendent, Treasury, 10% comes to the share of the employees working in the District Treasury for promotion and even as of now, the said quota has not been filled up, which is causing prejudice to the petitioners as they are seeking promotion to the said post.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as per his instructions, 10% quota under which the petitioners are seeking promotions, have already been filled up and there is no vacancy.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per the instructions of the petitioner, one post is lying vacant.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, the petitioners file a representation raising the claim for promotion on the ground that one post is yet to be filled up in the 10% quota under which quota, the petitioners are seeking promotions, the same will be considered in accordance with law keeping in view the number of posts to be filled up from the quota under which the petitioners are seeking promotions and appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reason will be given for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed.



6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file a representation claiming the benefit of promotion against the posts in their quota, which are lying vacant.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

May 06, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No