

CRWP-653-2024
Date of decision: 23.01.2024

....Petitioners.

Versus

....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Sandeep K. Bansal, Advocate,
for Mr. S.P.Soi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

• • • • •

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both petitioners are apprehending threats to their lives at the hands of the private respondents, and they have also moved a representation dated 16.01.2024 (Annexure P-4) to the Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural) Jalandhar. But no action has been taken till date.
3. Notice of motion to the official respondents.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Jalandhar, to consider the representation dated 16.01.2024 (Annexure P-4) keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and if so warranted, take action, to ensure protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that this order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their relationship in any civil or criminal proceedings nor the petitioners would be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

23.01.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |