

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 19.04.2024
CR-387-2024

RAM KISHAN

.....PETITIONER

Versus

MITHLESH DEVI AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CR-438-2024

RAM KISHAN

.....PETITIONER

Versus

MITHLESH DEVI AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

RITU TAGORE, J. (ORAL)

1. Short prayer in these revision petitions is for issuance of directions to learned Civil Judge (Sr. Division) Nuh to decide the civil suits bearing No.922 and 923 of 2021 (Annexure P-1) for the recovery, filed by the petitioner against the respondents as well as pending applications in the aforesaid suits, in a time bound manner.
2. Given the limited scope of prayer, issuance of notice to the respondents is deemed unnecessary and is dispensed with.
3. It is stated by learned counsel for petitioner that aforementioned civil suits were filed by the plaintiff for the recovery of friendly loan of Rs. 4 lakh (Rs.2 lac in each case) given by the petitioner to the husband/father of the respondents, which the respondents failed to return the same. The learned

counsel submits that the civil suit bearing No.923 of 2021 is pending for consideration on application under Order 6 Rule 17 for the amendment of the plaint and civil suit No. 922 of 2021 is pending for filing written statement by the respondents and cases are being adjourned from time to time for the same purpose, which is causing serious prejudice to the petitioner and thus seeks the indulgence of this Court to time bound the disposal of the suits.

4. Heard. Paper-book perused with the assistance of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Perusal of various zimni orders on the paper book would reveal that both the cases mentioned above were filed by the petitioner on 18.11.2021. Thereafter the cases were adjourned for the appearance of the defendants, who have caused their appearance. Both the suits are now pending before the Court for the purpose as mentioned above.

6. From the above, it is made out that proceedings are going on in the cases, therefore, at this stage, no ground is made for issuance of any specific directions to the trial Court to decide the case(s) in a time bound manner. However, this Court is sanguine that learned Trial Court shall make sincere endeavour to dispose of the cases expeditiously without giving any unnecessary adjournments. Needless to mention, parties to the petition shall assist the Court in expeditious disposal of the case.

7. Petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

19.04.2024
smriti

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned /speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No