



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CR-496-2017

Decided on :19.09.2024

Baldish Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

Balbir Kaur @ Varinder

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing, a Coordinate Bench of this Court
was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Lovepreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. Gourave Bhayya Gilhotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

*Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate
for the respondent.*

*Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
seeks adjournment but counsel for the respondent is
opposing the same.*

*In the interest of justice, adjourned to
15.03.2024.*

*It is made clear that no further adjournment
shall be granted since stay has been granted long time
back.”*

2024.PHHC.124286



- 2. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner in spite of the abovesaid order.
- 3. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that there is a stay operating against the respondent.
- 4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
- 5. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the petition, in case, any cause of action survives.
- 6. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the petitioner on the address mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

19.09.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No