

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

253

CWP-2199-2022 and CWP-2226-2022
Date of decision: 31.01.2024

Dr. SANDEEP GOEL

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, Asst. A.G. Punjab
for respondents No.1 and 3 to 5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Both these petitions are being disposed of by a common order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the grievance of the petitioner emanated from the demand levelled by the respondents without adverting to the notice of the Arbitration sent by the petitioner on 08.11.2021, as per Clause 16 (vii) of the “*Ayushman Bharat Sarab Sehat Bima Yojna Scheme*” entered by memorandum of understanding and tripartite agreement dated 20.08.2020.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that an Arbitrator had already been appointed by the respondents in the meanwhile for consideration of the grievance

espoused by the petitioner.

It is informed that the respondents have already moved an application for recall of the said order. He however, contends that at this stage he does not intend to press the present writ petitions since the grievance of the petitioner for reference of the dispute of arbitration has already been redressed by appointment of an Arbitrator.

Disposed of as not pressed at this stage.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JANUARY 31, 2024

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No