

[299] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3346-2024
Date of Decision : 30.01.2024

Satvinder Singh ...Petitioner
versus
State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

Coram : **HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Mr. K.S. Dhanora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.
Mr. Naveen Siwach, Advocate for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 22.01.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. K.S.Dhanora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.435 dated 09.10.2023 registered for offence punishable under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 452, 506 IPC and Section 326 IPC (added later on), the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking pre-arrest bail.
Counsel for the petitioner relies upon compromise (Annexure P.2) to submit that parties have compromised the matter.
Notice of motion for 30.01.2024.
Nobody is appearing on behalf of the State as on account of Shri Ram Mandir Pratishtha Pran Ceremony in Ayodhya, the lawyers are not appearing having suspended the work.
In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. ”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, has informed this Court
that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 22.01.2024, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

30.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No