

CRM-M-3419-2022

Date of decision :26.04.2024

SUKHBIR KAUR

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjeet Singh Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

None for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal case having FIR No.28 dated 22.02.2021 registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professional (Regulation) Act, 2014 at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar Rural.

2. The allegation in nutshell are that Sarabjit Singh husband of the present petitioner and one Talwinder Singh allured complainant to hand over amount of Rs.22.5 lakhs to them on the pretext of sending son of the complainant to foreign country. Even petitioner was also named in the FIR.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and there are no specific allegations of entrustment of any amount to her by the complainant or his son. It is further submitted that main accused Sarabjit Singh is already arrested and

Class Ajnala vide order dated 09.04.2024 and the copy of the said order is taken on record. It is further submitted that petitioner has already joined investigation with the police in compliance of the order of interim bail passed by this Court.

4. State counsel on instructions from ASI Parsotam Lal submits that indeed petitioner has joined investigation with the police and is not required by the police for any further investigation. State counsel has not disputed the fact that husband of the petitioner who was arrested by the police is now enlarged on bail by the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ajnala.

5. From the perusal of FIR, it appears that there are no specific allegations of entrustment of any money directly to the petitioner by complainant or his son. Further the petitioner is not required by the police for the purpose of further investigation at this stage. It being so, no purpose will be served even if at this point of time the petitioner is subjected to custodial interrogation.

6. For the foregoing reasons, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and order of interim bail dated 17.03.2022 is hereby made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)

JUDGE

26.04.2024

Priyanka Thakur

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |