

2024:PHHC:070378



S. No.206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3244 of 2024

Date of Decision:18.05.2024

Rajeev Sandhu @ Rajiv Sandhu @ Golu

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Ms. Neha Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)

By way of present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.101 dated 27.06.2023 registered under Sections 364, 379A, 392 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Sector-20, District Panchkula.

As per prosecution allegations, two unknown persons (later on identified as petitioner and one Manish) snatched car keys from the hands of complainant- Mathew Jon and sped away along with the maid-servant, namely, Nargis of the complainant. Later on, the car was tracked on the basis of GPS system.

It is contended by learned counsel that the petitioner has been falsely implicated; that the matter has since been compromised amongst the parties; that the quashing petition bearing CRM-M-19048 of 2024 was filed before this Court in which the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court concerned for getting their statements recorded regarding the compromise by way of order dated 19.04.2024. Copy of that order is placed on record.



Learned counsel further contends that in pursuance to that order dated 19.04.2024, parties have got their statements recorded before the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panchkula on 07.05.2024. Certified copies of those statements have also been placed on record.

Status report has already been filed on behalf of respondent- State.

Learned State Counsel has opposed the petition by pointing out towards the nature of crime.

Custody certificate placed on record by learned State Counsel would reveal that the petitioner is in custody for the last 06 months and 17 days with no other criminal case pending against him.

Having regard to all the afore-said facts and circumstances, particularly the compromise having been effected between the parties and the fact that the parties had already made statements before the trial Court concerned regarding compromise pursuant to the direction given by this Court in connected petition and the fact that the petitioner has no criminal case pending against him, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate, on usual terms and conditions.

May 18, 2024
renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No