

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-3185-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.03.2024

Deepanshu ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Arun Kumar Singal, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

- Through the instant petition, filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 317, dated 13.12.2023, under Sections 323, 354, 354D, 452 and 427 of IPC at Police Station Sanoli, District Panipat.
- Brief facts of the case relevant for the purpose of disposal of the present petition are that the aforementioned FIR was registered on a written complaint filed by complainant/victim 'A' (*name withheld*) on 13.12.2023 alleging therein that the petitioner came to her house at about 03:00 AM and tried to assault her but she ran away from there. When the father of the victim happened to see the petitioner, he started scuffling with her family members and ran away from the spot. The incident was brought to the notice of the family members of the petitioner, who instead of taking any action supported

Neutral Citation No. 2024:PHHC:031769

the petitioner only. The victim alleged that such incident had happened with her three to four times earlier also. The petitioner used to assault her very often and had also tried to cause injury to her. She prayed for taking legal action against the petitioner. After registration of the FIR, investigation proceedings were initiated and the same are going on. The petitioner had filed an application for grant of anticipatory bail before the Additional Sessions Judge (FTC), Panipat but the same was dismissed, vide order dated 16.01.2024 (Annexure P-2).

3. Vide order dated 20.01.2024, passed by this Court, the petitioner was released on interim bail and was directed to join investigation.

4. Learned State counsel, in terms of the status report filed today in Court, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the said facts, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 20.01.2024, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

05.03.2024

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No