

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-645-2024 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 22.01.2024

REKHA KUMARI AND ANR.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: None.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The Punjab & Haryana High Court Bar Association and Bar Council of Punjab & Haryana High Court have decided “*No working day*” for today i.e., 22.01.2024 on account of sacred ceremony of “*Pran Pratishtha in Ram Mandir at Ayodhya*”.

CRM-W-94-2024

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Exemption from filing identity proofs of local address of the applicant/petitioners is granted.

CRWP-645-2024

The petitioners seeks protection of life and liberty by contending that both of them having attained the age of majority, have married each other against the wishes of their respective family members respondent Nos.4 to 7 and to seek appropriate protection from the authorities. They submitted a representation dated 11.01.2024 (Annexure P-6), in this regard to the Superintendent of Police, District

Sirsa, but are still apprehensive about their security in view of the apparent inaction and alleged clout of their family members-respondents.

Both of them do appear to have crossed the age of majority as seen from the copies of documents filed and have married each other, in support of which, Marriage Certificate & Marriage Photographs (Annexures P-4 & P-5), have been placed on record.

For the aforesaid reasons, this appears to be a fit case for this Court to invoke the inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. and in view of the mandate contained in Article 21 of the Constitution of India to protect the citizen's right to life and liberty.

Thus, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sirsa is directed to consider the representation dated 11.01.2024 (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate steps to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is nevertheless clarified that this order is issued only on the premise that the petitioners have crossed the age of majority as seen from the documents placed on record being their Aadhar Cards as Annexures P-1 and P-2. This would not *ipso facto* amount to granting any seal of approval on the legality of their marriage which essentially would come in the domain of the concerned Matrimonial Courts. Further, they would not be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

The petition is disposed of with the above directions.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

22.01.2024

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No