

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1323-2024

Date of decision: 29.01.2024

Rajender Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

**Present: Mr. Vikas Lochab, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.**

\*\*\*\*

**ARUN PALLI, J. ( Oral )**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a permanent resident of Sector-11 Extension, Jind. The limited grievance that he has is: inhabitants of private colonies, adjacent to Sector-11, have illegally/unauthorizedly opened three entry and exit points/passages through the green belt(s). Which has resulted in traffic snarls in the area and many other issues as regards safety and security of the inhabitants of the said sector. Therefore, he submits that a Mandamus is prayed for commanding the respondent authorities to stop the inflow of traffic from the said points. Further, a reference is made to the order dated 21.10.2022 (P-7), passed by a Coordinate Bench in CWP-24479-2022, vide which the respondent authorities were required to take cognizance of the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, and take a decision thereon. Resultantly, a speaking order dated 01.08.2023 (P-9), has since been passed. However, it is urged that post the order dated 01.08.2023, the matter has not made any tangible progress.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for the respondent-HSVP, is present in Court. He, on instructions, submits that to close the unauthorised passages/entries, tenders were invited. And on completion of the tendering process, the work has since been assigned to A-One Coop L&C Society Limited on 07.11.2023. He further submits that per the terms of the contract, the

work is likely to be concluded at the earliest. So much so, to avert any law and order situation at site, necessary measures have also been resorted to.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE

29.01.2024  
Ak Sharma

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |