

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

110

CWP-1322-2024 (O&M)
Decided on : 07.02.2024

ABDUL RASHID

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Jangvir S. Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 30.12.2016, (Annexure P-1) by which, the petitioner has been dismissed from service by the respondents-department.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that against the said order dated 30.12.2016 (Annexure P-1), the petitioner has filed an appeal, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-3 but the same has not been decided by the respondents so far and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said appeal by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.
3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, an appeal (Annexure P-3) has been filed by the petitioner and the same has been received in the office of respondent No 2 and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of **six weeks**

of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

07.02.2024
Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No