



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CRM-M No.3051 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:20.09.2024

Bavreet Singh @ Bavi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Supneet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajaib Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present **second** petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking bail pending trial in FIR No. 16 dated 14.02.2023, under Section 379-B(2) read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short, 'IPC') (Section 411 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Verka Amritsar, District Amritsar.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with co-accused in furtherance of their common intention caused grievous injuries on the person of *de facto* complainant-injured-Kishan Kumar with sharp edged weapon and snatched his purse and mobile phone.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 29.04.2024 and in pursuance thereof, he is regularly appearing before learned trial Court. Further submits that there is no allegation that petitioner is likely to misuse the concession or hamper the proceedings in any manner.



concerned, has acknowledged the above factual position and submits that petitioner is regularly appearing before learned trial Court. He also acknowledged that petitioner has not misused the concession of interim bail granted by this Court on 29.04.2024; but he has opposed the prayer for bail at this stage.

5. Heard learned counsel for both the sides and perused the paper book.

6. This Court, granted interim bail to the petitioner on 29.04.2024, in the following manner:-

“Contends that co-accused with similar allegations has already been granted the concession of interim bail vide order dated 03.11.2023, passed in CRM-M-44890-2023.

Posted for 08.07.2024.

In the meanwhile, petitioner be released on interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds subject to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.


To be heard along with CRM-M-44890-2023. .”

7. Learned State counsel has duly acknowledged that petitioner is regularly appearing before learned trial Court and there is no allegation that in case, interim bail is made absolute, he is likely to misuse the concession or hamper the proceedings in any manner. In such a scenario, sending the petitioner to custody at this stage would not serve any purpose.

8. Consequently, present petition is allowed. Interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 29.04.2024, is made absolute. He shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to

fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary



adjournment(s).

10. The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. It is clarified that in case there is any misuse of concession by the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

20.09.2024
Rajeev (rvs)

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No