



**CM-12779-CWP-2024 in/and  
CWP-1143-2023**

**1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(113+295)**

**CM-12779-CWP-2024 in/and  
CWP-1143-2023**

**Date of Decision : December 10, 2024**

**Jaswinderjit Kaur**

**.. Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar and another**

**.. Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Puneet Jindal, Senior Advocate, with  
Mr. Rahul Bansal, Advocate and  
Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Cyrus Chauhan, Advocate, for  
Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate, for the respondents.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

**CM-12779-CWP-2024**

Present application has been filed for impleading the applicants- M/s Sharma Enterprises 71, Dada Jhakde Mandir Area Kair, Najafgarh, New Delhi as respondent No.3, M/s Orion Safety and Security Services Private Ltd, Mohali as respondent No.4 and M/s Gretis India Private Limited, SCO-95, Sector-3, Panchkula as respondent No.5 in the present writ petition.

Notice of the application to the counsel opposite.

Mr. Cyrus Chauhan, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He raises no objection for the grant of prayer as raised in the present application.

**CM-12779-CWP-2024 in/and  
CWP-1143-2023****2**

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed. Applicants M/s Sharma Enterprises 71, Dada Jhakde Mandir Area Kair, Najafgarh, New Delhi, M/s Orion Safety and Security Services Private Ltd, Mohali and M/s Gretis India Private Limited, SCO-95, Sector-3, Panchkula are impleaded as respondents No. 3 to 5 in the present writ petition and the amended memo of parties attached with the application is taken on record.

**CWP-1143-2023**

1. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the claim of the petitioner was recommended by the Head of the Department to the Professor In-charge of the Library concerned and he had already forward the claim of the petitioner to the authorities concerned with favourable recommendations and the said recommendations are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case, the respondents are directed to take appropriate decision on the recommendation made by the Professor concerned who was heading the Library where the petitioner is working.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent-University submits that in case any such recommendation was made by the Professor concerned qua the petitioner and the same is still pending, appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case, it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioner, the same will be granted otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of



**CM-12779-CWP-2024 in/and  
CWP-1143-2023**

**3**

the petitioner, which reasons will be conveyed to the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-University, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

4. Ordered accordingly.

**December 10, 2024**

*harsha*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**

**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No