

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

103

2024:PHHC:034942
CWP No.1382 of 2024
Date of Decision:12.03.2024

Kulwinder Kaur and another

....Petitioners

VS.

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Nippun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Shivendra Pal, Central Govt. Counsel
for the Union of India

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents No. 1 and 2 to issue them passport.
2. The petitioners have been concededly implicated in FIR No. 9 dated 08.04.2017, under Sections 406, 498-A, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station NRI, District Jalandhar (Rural). The police after completing investigation filed its report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. The trial court has framed charges and matter is at prosecution evidence stage.
3. The Central Government has issued Notification No. 570 dated 25.08.1993 providing that in case criminal proceedings are pending against

an applicant, he will seek permission from trial court for issuance or re-issuance of passport.

4. Faced with this, counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to approach trial court.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid. This Court is sanguine of the fact that trial court, if petitioners file application, would expeditiously decide their application, in terms of the aforesaid Notification.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

12.03.2024
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No