

THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1568 of 2020
Date of Decision: 30.04.2024

Ajaypal
..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Rajat Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. B. S. Mittal, Advocate
for respondents No.5 and 6.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Present writ petition has been filed for directing respondents No.1 to 4 to take appropriate action, in accordance with law against respondents No.5 and 6 for his corrupt practices detrimental to the interests of Gram Panchayat especially in view of the fact the respondents No.5 and 6 time and again is being shielded and protected by respondents No.1 to 4 even for the serious allegations involving moral turpitude under the political compulsions and the action as per the provisions contained in the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act and the rules framed thereunder is not being taken for the reasons best known to the respondents.

Learned counsel for the State has invited the attention of this Court to the reply filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. He has

submitted that the inquiry in the complaint filed was conducted by the Block Development and Panchayat Officer and the same has been placed on record as Annexure R-1. He submits that on conducting the inquiry, the allegations made in the complaint were found to be false as no irregularities as alleged were found to have been substantiated. He has submitted that tenure of the Gram Panchayat to which respondents No.5 and 6 belongs is also expired in 2021. He has thus submitted that nothing survives in the present petition for adjudication in view of the fact that grievance of the petitioner regarding taking action against respondents No.5 and 6 has already been redressed.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.5 and 6 adopts the reply filed by respondents No.1 to 4.

Heard.

On hearing learned counsel for the State and perusing the record, it is apparent that respondent-State has already taken the action on the complaint filed by the petitioner in which respondents No.5 and 6 were found innocent. The inquiry report is also placed on record as Annexure R-1.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous. However the petitioner would be at liberty to avail his remedy as available to him in accordance with law in case any further cause of action accrues.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

30.04.2024
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No