



128

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-3765-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.08.2024

Ram Sarup (deceased) through his LR

...Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Lal and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 16.05.2023, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had passed the following order:-

“Present:- None for the petitioner

As per office report, pursuant to order dated 01.07.2019 notice could not be issued to the respondents due to non-filing of the correct/fresh address.

Let needful be done within four weeks.

On doing so, fresh notice be issued to the respondent for 28.09.2023.

Office to notify this order to counsel for the appellant for compliance.

May 16, 2023”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in spite of his best efforts, he has not been able to get the address of respondents and



has submitted that the present revision petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty be granted to the petitioner to revive the case in case, he is able to comply with the order dated 16.05.2023.

3. In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty aforesaid.

4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

05.08.2024

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No