

S. No.231

2024:PHHC:033392

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3314 of 2024

Date of Decision:07.03.2024

**Angrej Singh @ Kala
Vs.**

.....Petitioner

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Madhur Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Status report by way of affidavit of Shri Gurinderbir Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, East, Amritsar has been filed on behalf of the respondent- State, along with the custody certificate.

By way of present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.41 dated 16.06.2023 registered under Section 379-B(2) (later on added Section 411 IPC) at Police Station Verka, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

As per allegations, Suba Singh was on duty as a Security Guard at A.D. Green Colony Verka, on 16.06.2023 when 8-10 persons with muffled faces surrounded him, threatened him with datar, snatched his rifle having two live cartridges and fled away from the spot. It is also alleged that they locked the Watchman Manjit Singh and Pappu in a room. One of the culprit, namely, Lovejit Singh alias Labha was later on arrested and in his disclosure statement, he nominated the petitioner – Angrej Singh @ Kala. It is alleged that after the arrest of the petitioner, when he was interrogated, his disclosure statement resulted into recovery of a sickle allegedly used in the crime.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated; that petitioner was nominated after more than three

months from the date of occurrence and that except for the disclosure statement of the co-accused, there is no other evidence to connect the petitioner with the crime.

Learned State Counsel opposed the petition by pointing out towards the criminal antecedents of the petitioner.

It is informed by learned State Counsel that charges are yet to be framed though challan has already been filed. Petitioner is in custody for the last 05 months and 02 days as per the custody certificate.

Although petitioner is involved in other cases also as per the details given in the custody certificate but having regard to the role as attributed to the petitioner and the nature of evidence collected against him, this Court is of the view that no purpose shall be served by keeping him detained.

Having regard to all the afore-said facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

March 07, 2024
renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No