

153

INCOMP-315-2024
Date of Decision: 22.11.2024

Satnam Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioner.

 Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. The aforesaid petition was filed on 17.01.2020 by Sh. Vishal Nehra, Advocate.
The Registry had raised objection on the case file.
2. On 20.11.2024, this Court had passed the following order:-

 “None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

 In the interest of justice, list on 22.11.2024.

 State to get instructions in the meantime.

 It is clarified that in case the petitioner remains absent on the next date of
 hearing, then this Court shall dispose of the case.”
3. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules
and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken
back by the counsel/party,who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. The same
was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections. On failure, the case is to be listed
before the Court for orders. Note was published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the
advocates were requested to collect their all cases lying with objections for re-filing after
removal of objections.
4. It was further notified that all pending cases of this type will be listed in Court for
appropriate order. The case was shown in the cause list. No one was present on last date as
well as today also. Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to
be passed and the same is disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

22.11.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable?	No