

2024:PHHC:054587-DB
ITR-228-1985
Date of Decision: 23.04.2024.

C.I.T. Ludhiana

...Petitioner

Vs.

Rita Mechanical Works

...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present Mr. Ranvijay Singh, Sr. Tanding Counsel
for the petitioner.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Counsel for the petitioner appears and informs that he does not have any documents.
2. Since no assistance is being provided from the revenue also, we have no other option but to dismiss the appeal for non-prosecution.
3. In view of the above, the ITR is dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

23.04.2024.
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No